The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

Emporium Capwell Co. v. Western Addition Community Organization 420 U.S. 50 (1975)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University in St. Louis Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University









Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. G. 20543

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

January 24, 1975

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

A TRY MANUSCRIPT DIVISI

TRD A DV OF CONCRESS

Re: No. 73-696 - The Emporium Capwell Co. v. Western Addition Community Organization No. 73-830 - NLRB v. Western Addition Community Organization

Dear Thurgood:

I join in your opinion circulated December 18.

Regards,

1521

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

To : The Chief Justice

Mr. Justice Brennam Mr. Justice Stewart Mr. Justice White Mr. Justice Marshall Mr. Justice Blackmun Mr. Justice Powell Mr. Justice Rehnquist

3rd DRAFT

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 73-696 AND 73-830

From: Douglas; J.

12-11 Circulate:

The Emporium Capwell Co., Petitioner, 73–696 v. Western Addition Community Organization. National Labor Relations Board. Petitioner,

73–830 v. Western Addition Community Organization et al. Recirculate:

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

[December -, 1974]

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, dissenting.

The Court's opinion makes these union membersand others similarly situated—prisoners of the union. The law, I think, was designed to prevent that tragic consequence. Hence, I dissent.

Petitioners, who are black and were members of a union through which they obtained employment by the Emporium, would seem to have suffered rank discrimination because of their race.¹ They theoretically had a cause of action against their union for breach of its duty of fair representation spelled out in *Steele* v. *Louisville R. Co.*, 323 U. S. 192. But as the law on that phase of the problem has evolved it would seem that the burden on the employee is heavy. See *Vaca* v. *Sipes*, 386 U. S. 171, 190, where it was held that the union action must be "arbitrary, discriminatory, and in bad faith."

¹ See appendix to this opinion.

mplusia

То	in .	The	Chief	Justice
		Mr	Territor	0106

Mr. Justice Bro Mr. Justice Mr. Justie Mr. Just Mr. Just

4th DRAFT

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 73-696 and 73-830

Develan, J. Circulate:

Mr. Ji

LEPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

KANUSCRIPT DIV

SAUCIAL TO VURPESS

The Emporium Capwell Co., Petitioner, 73-696 v. Western Addition Community Organization. National Labor Relations Board, Petitioner, 73-830 v.

Western Addition Community Organization et al.

Recirculate: 12-19 On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Cir-

From:

[December -, 1974]

cuit.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, dissenting.

The Court's opinion makes these union membersand others similarly situated-prisoners of the union. The law, I think, was designed to prevent that tragic consequence. Hence, I dissent.

Petitioners, who are black and were members of a union through which they obtained employment by the Emporium, would seem to have suffered rank discrimination because of their race. They theoretically had a cause of action against their union for breach of its duty of fair representation spelled out in Steele v. Louisville R. Co., 323 U.S. 192. But as the law on that phase of the problem has evolved it would seem that the burden on the employee is heavy. See Vaca v. Sipes, 386 U.S. 171, 190, where it was held that the union action must be "arbitrary, discriminatory, and in bad faith."

The employees might also have sought relief under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which forbids

To The Chief Justice • Mr. Justice Brennan

4th DRAFT

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 73-696 and 73-830

Douglas; J.

Circulate:

Mr. Justice Stewart Mr. Justice White

Mr. Jacobiero Elistera Mr. Justice Posill

Torshall'

7

Mr. Junio

The Emporium Capwell Co., Petitioner, v.

73-696

Western Addition Community Organization.

National Labor Relations Board, Petitioner,

73-830 v.

Western Addition Community Organization et al.

[February —, 1975]

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, dissenting.

The Court's opinion makes these union membersand others similarly situated-prisoners of the union. The law, I think, was designed to prevent that tragic consequence. Hence, I dissent.

Petitioners, who are black and were members of a union through which they obtained employment by the Emporium, would seem to have suffered rank discrimination because of their race. They theoretically had a cause of action against their union for breach of its duty of fair representation spelled out in Steele v. Louisville R. Co., 323 U.S. 192. But as the law on that phase of the problem has evolved it would seem that the burden on the employee is heavy. See Vaca v. Sipes, 386 U.S. 171, 190, where it was held that the union action must be "arbitrary, discriminatory, and in bad faith."

The employees might also have sought relief under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which forbids

Recirculate: 1-3

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

TED A DV OF CONCRESS

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION AND THE MANUSCRIPT DIV

Supreme Court of the United States Mashington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

January 2, 1975

RE: Nos. 73-696 and 73-830 The Emporium Capwell & N.L.R.B. v. Western Addition Community Organization

Dear Thurgood:

I agree.

Sincerely,

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

KE MANUSCRIPT DIVIS

TTED ARV OF CONCRESS

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

December 9, 1974

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

MANUSCRIPT DIV

OF CONCRESS

V O V O

Nos. 73-696 and 73-830 Emporium Capwell Co. v. Community Org.

Dear Thurgood,

I am glad to join your opinion for the Court in these cases.

Sincerely yours,

7.s.

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

Supreme Court of the United States Mashington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

January 20, 1975

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISIO

TIPDADV OF CONCRESS

7

Re: Nos. 73-696 & 73-830 - Emporium Capwell Co. v. Western Addition Community Organization

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

hyron

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to Conference

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY Mr. Justice Dougla, Mr. Justice Brenna: Mr. Justice Stewar Mr. Justice White Mr. Justice Blackmu Mr. Justice Powell Mr. Justice Rehnqui 1st DRAFT From: Marshall, J. 197 € SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES lated: DFC 6

Nos, 73 696 AND 73-830

Recirculated:

The Emporium Capwell Co., Petitioner, 73-696 v_{s} Western Addition Community | On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court Organization. of Appeals for the Dis National Labor Relations trict of Columbia Cir-Board, Petitioner, cuit. 73-830 7% Western Addition Community Organization et al.

[December —, 1974]

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case presents the question whether, in light of the national policy against racial discrimination in employment, the National Labor Relations Act protects concerted activity by a group of minority employees to bargain with their employer over issues of employment The National Labor Relations Board discrimination. held that the employees could not circumvent their elected representative to engage in such bargaining. The Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed and remanded, holding that in certain circumstances the activity would be protected. 485 F. 2d 917. Because of the importance of the issue to the administration of the Act, we granted certiorari. 415 U.S. 913. We now reverse,

Www. Logh mo 71

ιį,

8,	10,	13,	18
	-	-	

		Chief J	
~	_Mr.	Justice	Douglas
		Justice	
		Justice	
		Justice	
			Blackmun
		Justice	
	Mr.	Justice	Rehnquist

2nd DRAFT

From: Marshall, J.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATES Circulated:

Nos. 73-696 and 73-830

Recirculated: DEC 18 KEFKUDUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

The Emporium Capwell Co., Petitioner, 73–696 v. Western Addition Community Organization. National Labor Relations Board, Petitioner, 73–830 v. Western Addition Community Organization et al.	On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Dis- trict of Columbia Cir- cuit.
--	--

[December -, 1974]

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case presents the question whether, in light of the national policy against racial discrimination in employment, the National Labor Relations Act protects concerted activity by a group of minority employees to bargain with their employer over issues of employment discrimination. The National Labor Relations Board held that the employees could not circumvent their elected representative to engage in such bargaining. The Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed and remanded, holding that in certain circumstances the activity would be protected. 485 F. 2d 917. Because of the importance of the issue to the administration of the Act, we granted certiorari. 415 U.S. 913. We now reverse.

NM. Dog .

Supreme Çourt of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE HARRY A. BLACKMUN

December 17, 1974

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

MANUSCRIPT DIVIS

TEDADY OF CONCRESS

Re: No. 73-696 - Emporium Capwell Co. v. Western Addition Community No. 73-830 - NLRB v. Western Addition Community Organization

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE LEWIS F. POWELL, JR.

January 2, 1975

No. 73-696 Emporium Capwell v. Western Addition No. 73-830 NLRB v. Western Addition

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

Lewin

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO

KY MANUSCRIPT DIVIS

TPPADAD TO TARKS

Mr. Justice Marshall

lfp/ss

cc: The Conference

Supreme Gourt of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

January 3, 1975

Re: Nos. 73-696 and 73-830 - Emporium Capwell v. Western Addition

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIO