

The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

Foster v. Dravo Corp.

420 U.S. 92 (1975)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University
James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University in St. Louis
Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University



✓

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
THE CHIEF JUSTICE

February 12, 1975

Re: 73-1773 - Foster v. Dravo Corporation

Dear Thurgood:

I join in your proposed draft opinion dated
February 6, 1975.

Regards,

Lee B

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

✓

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION

IN THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

U.S. SUPREME COURT LIBRARY

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

January 27, 1975

MEMORANDUM TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE

RE: No. 73-1773 Foster v. Dravo

I passed at conference to determine whether our disposition of Eagar v. Magma Copper Co., 389 U.S. 323 (1967) required a reversal. I am now satisfied that it does not and vote to Affirm.

W.J.B.Jr.

cc: The Conference

Wm. Doyle
Oct 7

✓

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE Wm. J. BRENNAN, JR.

February 7, 1975

RE: No. 73-1773 Foster v. Dravo Corporation

Dear Thurgood:

I agree.

Sincerely,

Bill

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

✓

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION

IN THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

SSSNCNOJ OF ADVANCE

✓

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE POTTER STEWART

February 11, 1975

No. 73-1773, Foster v. Dravo Corp.

Dear Thurgood,

I am glad to join your opinion for
the Court in this case.

Sincerely yours,

P.S./

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

✓

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION

THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE BYRON R. WHITE

February 10, 1975

Re: No. 73-1773 - Foster v. Dravo Corp.

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,



Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to Conference

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION
U.S. SUPREME COURT LIBRARY

To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Brennan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Blackmun
Mr. Justice Powell
Mr. Justice Rehnquist

1st DRAFT

From: Marshall, J.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Circulated: FEB 6 1975

Recirculated: _____

No. 73-1773

Earl R. Foster,
Petitioner,
v.
Dravo Corporation. } On Writ of Certiorari to the United
States Court of Appeals for the
Third Circuit.

[February —, 1975]

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL delivered the opinion of the Court.

Through the Military Selective Service Act, Congress has sought to protect veterans returning to civilian jobs from being penalized for having served in the armed forces. Section 9 of the Act, 50 U. S. C. App. § 459, ensures a returning serviceman the right to be restored to his job with the same levels of seniority, status and pay that he would have enjoyed if he had held the job throughout the time he was in the military.¹ This case

¹ Section 9 (b) provides a right to re-employment for any serviceman "who has left or leaves a position . . . and . . . makes application for re-employment within ninety days after he is relieved from such training and service." Section 9 (b)(B)(i) adds that if the serviceman is "still qualified to perform the duties of such position, [he shall] be restored by such employer . . . to such position or a position of like seniority, status, and pay." Section 9 (c), which governs the rights of those restored to positions after return from the service, provides in relevant part:

"(1) Any person who is restored to a position in accordance with the provisions of . . . this section shall be considered as having been on furlough or leave of absence during his period of training and service in the armed forces, shall be so restored without loss of seniority, shall be entitled to participate in insurance or other benefits offered by the employer pursuant to established rules and prac-

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Wm. Douglas
a 174

✓
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE HARRY A. BLACKMUN

February 10, 1975

Re: No. 73-1773 - Foster v. Dravo Corp.

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

Harry

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

✓
REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

✓
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE LEWIS F. POWELL, JR.

February 10, 1975

No. 73-1773 Foster v. Dravo Corp.

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

Lewis

Mr. Justice Marshall

lfp/ss

cc: The Conference

2
REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION
U.S. LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE WILLIAM H. REHNQUIST

February 12, 1975

Re: No. 73-1773 - Foster v. Dravo

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

wm

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

148-8

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ARCHIVES