

The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

Hackney v. Machado

397 U.S. 593 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University

James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University

Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University



B

To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Black
✓ Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

From: Brennan, J.

Circulated: 4-7-70

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1969

HACKNEY, COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC
WELFARE OF TEXAS, ET AL. v.
MACHADO ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

No. 553. Decided April —, 1970

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court for reconsideration in light of *Goldberg v. Kelly*, — U. S. — (1970).

The CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE STEWART dissent and would reverse the judgment for the reasons expressed in their respective dissenting opinions in *Goldberg v. Kelly*, *supra*.

Same
cc

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Circulated
4-7-70

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1969

HACKNEY, COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC
WELFARE OF TEXAS, ET AL. v.
MACHADO ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

No. 553. Decided April —, 1970

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court for reconsideration in light of *Goldberg v. Kelly*, — U. S. — (1970).

The CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE STEWART dissent and would reverse the judgment for the reasons expressed in their respective dissenting opinions in *Goldberg v. Kelly*, *supra*.