The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

United States v. Hilton Hotels Corp. 397 U.S. 580 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University









wow

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

April 14, 1970

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS ON THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

N TIDDADY OF CONCRESS

Re: No. 528 - U.S. v. Hilton Hotels

Dear Thurgood:

I agree with your opinion.

Sincerely,

.M.H.

Mr. Justice Marshall

CC: The Conference

En Copus

ł

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

April 13, 1970

RE: No. 528 - United States v. Hilton Hotels

Dear Thurgood:

I agree with your opinion in the above case.

Sincerely,

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVIS

TTPPAPV OF CONCRESS

Biel W.J.B. Jr.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

3

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

April 14, 1970

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION

TIPPADY OF CONCRESS

*

No. 528 - U. S. v. Hilton Hotels Corp.

Dear Thurgood,

I am glad to join your opinion for the Court in this case.

Sincerely yours,

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference



Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF

April 13, 1970

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVIS

TTPP AD V DY CONCRESS

Re: No. 528 - United States v. Hilton Hotels Corporation

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me in your opinion

in this case.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference



KERKUDUCED FROM

THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

From: Marshall, J.

Recirculated;

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES Inculated: APR 1 3 19

No. 528.—October Term, 1969

United States, Petitioner, v. Hilton Hotels Corporation.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL delivered the opinion of the Court.

This is the companion case to Woodward v. Commissioner, ante, and presents a similar question involving the tax treatment of appraisal litigation.

In 1953 taxpayer Hilton Hotels Corporation, which owned close to 90% of the common shares of the Hotel Waldorf-Astoria Corporation, determined to merge the two companies. Hilton retained a consulting firm to prepare a merger study to determine a fair rate of exchange between Hilton stock and Waldorf stock. After this study was completed, on November 12, 1953, Hilton and Waldorf entered into a merger agreement under which Hilton would be the surviving corporation, and 1.25 shares of Hilton stock would be offered for each outstanding Waldorf share not already held by Hilton. On December 28, Hilton voted its Waldorf stock to approve the merger by the requisite majority. Prior to the vote, the holders of about 6% of the Waldorf shares had filed with Waldorf their written objections to the merger, and demanded payment for their stock, pursuant to § 91 of the New York Stock Corporation Law.