

The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database


Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Carpet Layers

397 U.S. 655 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University

James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University

Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University



Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
THE CHIEF JUSTICE

April 22, 1970

No. 476 - Sears, Roebuck & Co., v. Carpet, Linoleum,
Soft Tile and Resilient Floor Covering Layers,
Local Union No. 419, AFL-CIO et al

Dear Potter:

Join me in your Per Curiam.


W. E. B.

Mr. Justice Stewart

cc: The Conference

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

April 16, 1970

Re: No. 476 - Sears, Roebuck v.
Local Union No. 419

Dear Potter:

I agree with your opinion.

Sincerely,

J. M. H.

Mr. Justice Stewart

CC: The Conference

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

April 16, 1970

RE: No. 476 - Sears, Roebuck v. Carpet,
Linoleum, etc.

Dear Potter:

I agree with your Per Curiam in the
above case.

Sincerely,



W. J. B. Jr.

Mr. Justice Stewart

cc: The Conference

Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Brennan
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

From: Stewart, J.

Circulated: APR 16 1970

Recirculated: _____

2

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 476.—OCTOBER TERM, 1969

Sears, Roebuck and Co.,
Petitioner,
v.
Carpet, Linoleum, Soft Tile
and Resilient Floor Cover-
ing Layers, Local Union
No. 419, AFL-CIO, et al.

On Writ of Certiorari to
the United States Court
of Appeals for the
Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

PER CURIAM.

The petitioner, Sears, Roebuck and Company (Sears), filed a charge with the NLRB Regional Director, alleging that the respondent union was engaged in unlawful secondary picketing of the petitioner's premises in violation of § 8 (b)(4)(B) of the Act.¹ The Regional Director

¹“Sec. 8 (b) It shall be an unfair labor practice for a labor organization or its agents—

“(4) (i) to engage in, or to induce or encourage any individual employed by any person engaged in commerce or in an industry affecting commerce to engage in, a strike or a refusal in the course of his employment to use, manufacture, process, transport, or otherwise handle or work on any goods, articles, materials, or commodities or to perform any services; or (ii) to threaten, coerce, or restrain any person engaged in commerce or in an industry affecting commerce, where in either case an object thereof is: . . . (B) forcing or requiring any person to cease using, selling, handling, transporting, or otherwise dealing in the products of any other producer, processor, or manufacturer, or to cease doing business with any other person, or forcing or requiring any other employer to recog-

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

April 16, 1970

Re: No. 476 - Sears, Roebuck & Co.
v. Carpet, Linoleum,
Soft Tile etc.

Dear Potter:

Please join us.

Sincerely,

B.R.W.

Mr. Justice Stewart

adi Conference



MANUSCRIPT DIVISION
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL

April 16, 1970

Re: No. 476 - Sears v. Carpet Local 419

Dear Potter:

Please join me in this one.

Sincerely,



T.M.

Mr. Justice Stewart

cc: Conference

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS