

The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

Jackson v. Department of Public Welfare of Florida

397 U.S. 589 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University
James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University
Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University



To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Black
✓Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

From: Brennan, J.

Circulated: 4-7-70

Re-circulated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1969

JACKSON ET AL. v. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
WELFARE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

No. 471, Misc. Decided April —, 1970

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court for reconsideration in light of *Goldberg v. Kelly*, — U. S. — (1970); Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 23; and to determine whether the case is moot.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE STEWART dissent and would affirm the judgment for the reasons expressed in their respective dissenting opinions in *Goldberg v. Kelly*, *supra*.

Handwritten signature

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE JOHN M. HARLAN

April 16, 1970

Re: No. 471 Misc. - Jackson v. Dept.
of Welfare

Dear Bill:

I agree with your per curiam, and regret
my oversight in not returning sooner.

Sincerely,

J. M. H.
J. M. H.

Mr. Justice Brennan

CC: The Conference

April 16, 1970

Re: No. 471 Misc. - Jackson v. Dept.
of Welfare

Dear Bill:

I agree with your per curiam, and regret
my oversight in not returning sooner.

Sincerely,

J. M. H.

Mr. Justice Brennan

CC: The Conference

To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Douglas
✓ Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

From: Brennan, J.

Circulated: 4-7-70

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1969

JACKSON ET AL. v. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
WELFARE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

No. 471, Misc. Decided April —, 1970

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court for reconsideration in light of *Goldberg v. Kelly*, — U. S. — (1970); Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 23; and to determine whether the case is moot.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE STEWART dissent and would affirm the judgment for the reasons expressed in their respective dissenting opinions in *Goldberg v. Kelly*, *supra*.

Circulated
4-7-70

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1969

JACKSON ET AL. v. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
WELFARE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

No. 471, Misc. Decided April —, 1970

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court for reconsideration in light of *Goldberg v. Kelly*, — U. S. — (1970); Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 23; and to determine whether the case is moot.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, MR. JUSTICE BLACK, and MR. JUSTICE STEWART dissent and would affirm the judgment for the reasons expressed in their respective dissenting opinions in *Goldberg v. Kelly*, *supra*.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS