The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

NLRB v. Raytheon Co. 398 U.S. 25 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University











Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

May 8, 1970

Re: No. 440 - NLRB v. Raytheon Co.

Dear Thurgood:

I concur. Sorry to take so long to get

to you!

W.E.B.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

CON

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE HUGO L. BLACK

April 23, 1970

Dear Thurgood:

Re: No. 440-NLRB v. Raytheon Corp.

I agree.

Sincerely,

H. L.B.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: Members of the Conference

1970

for is

To: The Chief Justice

Mr. Justice Black

Mr. Justice Douglas

Mr. Justice Harlan

Mr. Justice Brennan

Mr. Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice White

Mr. Justice Fortas

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES. Marshall, J.

inculated. APR 2

No. 440.—October Term, 1969

Recirculated:_

National Labor Relations
Board, Petitioner,

v.

Raytheon Company et al.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Marshall delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case was brought here on certiorari by the National Labor Relations Board for review of the dismissal of its petition for enforcement of a cease-and-desist order forbidding certain conduct of the Raytheon Company found to be in violation of §8(a)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act.

After it lost a representation election conducted by the Board on February 4, 1965, the International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL—CIO, filed objections to the election and unfair labor practice charges, both based on pre-election misconduct of the company. On October 19, 1965, an unfair labor practice complaint issued alleging violations of § 8 (a)(1). The proceedings on that charge were consolidated with the objections to the election case, and a hearing was held before a Trial Examiner. Thereafter, on October 5, 1966, the Board rendered its decision, ordering that a new election be held and that the company cease and desist certain antiunion activity.

On February 8, 1968, pursuant to § 10 (e) of the Act, the Board filed a petition in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit seeking enforcement of its unfair John (M)

PDADY OF CONCRESS

TCG 6000

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE JOHN M. HARLAN

April 21, 1970

Re: No. 440 - NLRB v. Raytheon

Dear Thurgood:

I think the disposition you have made of this case is the correct one, and I am glad to join your opinion.

Sincerely,

и. н.

Mr. Justice Marshall

CC: The Conference

DAY OF CONCEPTS

Stown

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

April 23, 1970

RE: No. 440 - N. L. R. B. v. Raytheon Co.

Dear Thurgood:

I agree with your opinion in the above case.

Sincerely,

W.J.B. Jr.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

A TIPPADY OF CONCERSO



Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE POTTER STEWART

April 21, 1970

No. 440 - NLRB v. Raytheon

Dear Thurgood,

Unless somebody else writes separately, I shall acquiesce in your opinion for the Court in this case.

Sincerely yours,

(.3)

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

Ceon

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE BYRON R. WHITE

April 22, 1970

Re: No. 440 - NLRB v. Raytheon Co.

Dear Thurgood:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

B.R.W.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

To: The Chief Justice

fr. Justice Black

Mr. Justice Douglas

(r. Justice Harlan

r. Justice Brennan

Mr. Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice White

Mr. Justice Fortas

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATESom: Marshall, J.

Circulated:

No. 440.—Остовек Текм, 1969

1

Recirculated:

National Labor Relations
Board, Petitioner,

v.

Raytheon Company et al.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Marshall delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case was brought here on certiorari by the National Labor Relations Board for review of the dismissal of its petition for enforcement of a cease-and-desist order forbidding certain conduct of the Raytheon Company found to be in violation of §8(a)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act.

After it lost a representation election conducted by the Board on February 4, 1965, the International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL-CIO, filed objections to the election and unfair labor practice charges, both based on pre-election misconduct of the company. On October 19, 1965, an unfair labor practice complaint issued alleging violations of § 8 (a)(1). The proceedings on that charge were consolidated with the objections to the election case, and a hearing was held before a Trial Examiner. Thereafter, on October 5, 1966, the Board rendered its decision, ordering that a new election be held and that the company cease and desist certain antiunion activity.

On February 8, 1968, pursuant to § 10 (e) of the Act, the Board filed a petition in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit seeking enforcement of its unfair M. nor changes

))

To: The Chief Justic

Justice Black

Mr. Justice Douglas

Mr. Justice Harlan

Lur. Justice Bremen Justice Stewar'

Mr. Justice Waite

Mr. Justico Senta

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES as marshall, I.

2

Circulated: _____

No. 440.—October Term. 1969

Recirculated: 5/17

National Labor Relations) On Writ of Certiorari to Board, Petitioner, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth

Raytheon Company et al. Circuit.

Opinion of the Court by Mr. Justice Marshall, announced by Mr. Justice Stand.

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL delivered the opinion of the -Court.

> This case was brought here on certiorari by the National Labor Relations Board for review of the dismissal of its petition for enforcement of a cease-and-desist order forbidding certain conduct of the Raytheon Company found to be in violation of §8(a)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act.

> After it lost a representation election conducted by the Board on February 4, 1965, the International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL-CIO, filed objections to the election and unfair labor practice charges, both based on pre-election misconduct of the company. On October 19, 1965, an unfair labor practice complaint issued alleging violations of §8 (a)(1). The proceedings on that charge were consolidated with the objections to the election case, and a hearing was held before a Trial Examiner. Thereafter, on October 5, 1966, the Board rendered its decision, ordering that a new election be held and that the company cease and desist certain antiunion activity.

> On February 8, 1968, pursuant to § 10 (e) of the Act, the Board filed a petition in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit seeking enforcement of its unfair