The Burger Court Opinion Writing Database

Choctaw Nation v. Oklahoma 397 U.S. 620 (1970)

Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University









Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

December 12, 1969

Re: No. 41 - Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation v. State of Oklahoma

No. 59 - Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in
Oklahoma v. State of Oklahoma

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CONFERENCE

I adhere to my original vote to affirm in this case.

W.E.B.

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

January 22, 1970

MEMORANDUM TO THE CONFERENCE:

Re: Nos. 41 & 59 - Choctaw Nation and Chickasaw Nation v. Oklahoma

In its present posture I believe the best solution is to set the above cases for reargument when we have nine Justices.

We can discuss this on Friday.

W.E.B.

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

April 22, 1970

Re: Nos. 41 and 59 - Choctaw Nation and Chickasaw Nation and Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma v. Oklahoma

Dear Byron:

Please join me in your dissent.

Regards,

WEB

Mr. Justice White

cc: The Conference

Saar Thursonia

ALA-Mar. Class 51

Line the Cole | notice; | where we my

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Jec 6 DW

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, B. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE HUGO L. BLACK

March 25, 1970

Dear Thurgood:

Nos. 41 and 59.

Re: The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation v. State of Oklahoma; Cherokee Nation, etc. v. State of Oklahoma, et al.

Please note at the foot of your opinion:

"MR. JUSTICE BLACK dissents."

Since rely,

Hugo

Mr. Justice Marshall

on In

JAAC

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS

December 11, 1969

Dear Thurgood:

I like your memo in Nos. 41 & 59 - the <u>Choctaw</u> and <u>Cherokee</u> cases. Please join me.

I'll probably file something of my own. But certainly I am with you.

w. o. p.

Mr. Justice Marshall

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation, Petitioners,

41

v. State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma, Petitioner,

59

v. State of Oklahoma et al. On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[January —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Douglas, dissenting.

While I join Mr. JUSTICE MARSHALL'S opinion, I add a few words.

The Cherokees, pursuant to treaties with the United States, exchanged their aboriginal domain in the East for 14,000,000 plus lands west of the Mississippi, then in Indian Territory but now a part of Oklahoma. Pursuant to promises in the treaties, the United States on December 31, 1838, issued a patent to the Cherokees describing the lands by metes and bounds and conveying the lands in fee simple.

A portion of the Arkansas River is entirely within the grant to the Cherokees. It is therefore a mystery why all of the bed in that portion of the river was not conveyed to the Cherokees. The river bed was not reserved to the United States by the patent. The United States, however, made other reservations: (1) right to permit other Indians to get salt on the western part of the grant; (2) any rights to lands assigned the Quapaws which turn out to be within the bounds of these Cherokee

John 41

5

To: The Calef Justice

Mr. Justice Black

Mr. Justice Harlan

Mr. Justice Brennan

Mr. Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice White

Mr. Justice Fortas

Mr. Justice Marshall

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

From: Douglas, J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969 Circulated:

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[January —, 1970]

Mr. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, dissenting.

While I join Mr. Justice Marshall's opinion, I add a few words.

The Cherokees, pursuant to treaties with the United States, exchanged their aboriginal domain in the East for 14,000,000 plus lands west of the Mississippi, then in Indian Territory but now a part of Oklahoma. Pursuant to promises in the treaties, the United States on December 31, 1838, issued a patent to the Cherokees describing the lands by metes and bounds and conveying the lands in fee simple.

A portion of the Arkansas River is entirely within the grant to the Cherokees. It is therefore a mystery why all of the bed in that portion of the river was not conveyed to the Cherokees. The river bed was not reserved to the United States by the patent. The United States, however, made other reservations: (1) right to permit

4,5

: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Hinck
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Januar

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

6

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1965 on: Date

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

Circulated:

Recirculated: 1-5-90

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[January —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Douglas, dissenting.

While I join Mr. JUSTICE MARSHALL'S opinion, I add a few words.

The Cherokees, pursuant to treaties with the United States, exchanged their aboriginal domain in the East for 14,000,000 plus lands west of the Mississippi, then in Indian Territory but now a part of Oklahoma. Pursuant to promises in the treaties, the United States on December 31, 1838, issued a patent to the Cherokees describing the lands by metes and bounds and conveying the lands in fee simple.

A portion of the Arkansas River is entirely within the grant to the Cherokees. It is therefore a mystery why all of the bed in that portion of the river was not conveyed to the Cherokees. The river bed was not reserved to the United States by the patent. The United States, however, made other reservations: (1) right to permit other Indians to get salt on the western part of the grant; (2) any rights to lands assigned the Quapaws which turn out to be within the bounds of these Cherokee

Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Brennan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

7

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

19/70

J,

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[January —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Douglas, dissenting.

While I join Mr. Justice Marshall's opinion, I add a few words.

The Cherokees, pursuant to treaties with the United States, exchanged their aboriginal domain in the East for 14,000,000 plus lands west of the Mississippi, then in Indian Territory but now a part of Oklahoma. Pursuant to promises in the treaties, the United States on December 31, 1838, issued a patent to the Cherokees describing the lands by metes and bounds and conveying the lands in fee simple.

A portion of the Arkansas River is entirely within the grant to the Cherokees. It is therefore a mystery why all of the bed in that portion of the river was not conveyed to the Cherokees. The river bed was not reserved to the United States by the patent. The United States, however, made other reservations: (1) right to permit other Indians to get salt on the western part of the grant; (2) any rights to lands assigned the Quapaws which turn out to be within the bounds of these Cherokee

Chr.

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

March 25, 1970

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS

Dear Thurgood:

Re: Nos. 41 & 59 - Choctaw Nation, etc.

I am still with you.

W. O. D.

hw

Mr. Justice Marshall

To: The Chief Julia.

Mr. Justice Black

Mr. Justice Harlan

Mr. Justice Brennan

Mr. Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice Stewar

Mr. Justice White

Mr. Justice Fortas Mr. Justice Marshall

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

From: Douglas, J.

Circulated: 4/6/70

Recirculated:

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

Mr. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, concurring.

While I join Mr. Justice Marshall's opinion, I add a few words.

The Cherokees, pursuant to treaties with the United States, exchanged their aboriginal domain in the East for more than 14,000,000 acres of land west of the Mississippi, then in Indian Territory but now a part of Oklahoma. Pursuant to promises in the treaties, the United States on December 31, 1838, issued a patent to the Cherokees describing the lands by metes and bounds and conveying the lands here in question in fee simple.¹

A portion of the Arkansas River is entirely within the grant to the Cherokees. It is therefore a mystery why all of the bed in that portion of the river was not conveyed to the Cherokees. The river bed was not reserved to the United States by the patent. The United States,

¹ In addition to the millions of acres conveyed to the Cherokees in fee simple, which included the land surrounding the segment of the Arkansas River here in question, they were guaranteed additional lands to the west of that tract as "a perpetual outlet west" which provided for "free and unmolested use" of those lands.

Supreme Court of the Anited States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE WM. J. BRENNAN, JR.

March 25, 1970

RE: Nos. 41 & 59 - Choctaw & Cherokee Nation v. Oklahoma

Dear Thurgood:

I agree with your opinion in the above case.

Sincerely,

W.J.B. Jr.

Mr. Justice Marshall

cc: The Conference

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE POTTER STEWART

January 13, 1970

41 & 59 - Choctaw Nation v. Oklahoma

Dear Thurgood,

I am glad to join your opinion in this case.

Please excuse my delay in letting you know this. I
mistakenly thought I had indicated my agreement long ago.

Sincerely yours,

P.S.

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE POTTER STEWART

March 25, 1970

Nos. 41 and 59 - Choctaw Nation v. Okiahoma et al.

Dear Thurgood,

I am glad to join your opinion for the Court in these cases.

Sincerely yours,

P.g.

Mr. Justice Marshall

Copies to the Conference

Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Brennan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

2

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES on: White, J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

Circulated: 4-10-70

Recirculated:

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation, Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,

Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

MR. JUSTICE WHITE, dissenting.

At issue in these cases is the ownership of the lands underlying the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River in Oklahoma downstream to the western border of Arkansas. The Arkansas River is a navigable stream below, but not above, its junction with the Grand River. The contending parties are three Indian tribes on the one hand and the State of Oklahoma on the other. The Cherokees base their claim on a United States patent of 1838 and underlying treaties, the Choctaws and the Chickasaws on an 1842 patent also issued in fulfillment of prior treaty commitments. The State claims under the settled doctrine that a newly admitted State takes title to the beds of all navigable rivers within its borders; the State denies that the prior patents conveyed the river bed. The patent to the Cherokees included property on both sides of the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River downstream to its junction with the Canadian River. From the Canadian River to the Arkansas border, the Arkansas River was the boundary

Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Douglas
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Brennan
Mr. Justice Stewart
Mr. Justice Fortas
Mr. Justice Marshall

3

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES White, J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

Section 19+00: 4-11-70

Circulated:

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

MR. JUSTICE WHITE, with whom MR. JUSTICE BLACK joins, dissenting.

At issue in these cases is the ownership of the lands underlying the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River in Oklahoma downstream to the western border of Arkansas. The Arkansas River is a navigable stream below, but not above, its junction with the Grand River. The contending parties are three Indian tribes on the one hand and the State of Oklahoma on the other. The Cherokees base their claim on a United States patent of 1838 and underlying treaties, the Choctaws and the Chickasaws on an 1842 patent also issued in fulfillment of prior treaty commitments. The State claims under the settled doctrine that a newly admitted State takes title to the beds of all navigable rivers within its borders; the State denies that the prior patents conveyed the river bed. The patent to the Cherokees included property on both sides of the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River downstream to its junction with the Canadian River. From the Canadian River to the Arkansas border, the Arkansas River was the boundary

STYLISTIC CHANGES THROUGHOUL SEE PAGES: /

To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Dougl

Mr. Justice Douglas Mr. Justice Harlan

Mr. Justice Brennan Mr. Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice Fortas

Mr. Justice Marshal

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: White, J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

Circulated:

Recirculated: 4-23-

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation,
Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

MR. JUSTICE WHITE, with whom THE CHIEF JUSTICE And MR. JUSTICE BLACK join, dissenting.

At issue in these cases is the ownership of the lands underlying the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River in Oklahoma downstream to the western border of Arkansas. The Arkansas River is a navigable stream below, but not above, its junction with the Grand River. The contending parties are three Indian tribes on the one hand and the State of Oklahoma on the other. The Cherokees base their claim on a United States patent of 1838 and underlying treaties, the Choctaws and the Chickasaws on an 1842 patent also issued in fulfillment of prior treaty commitments. The State claims under the settled doctrine that a newly admitted State takes title to the beds of all navigable rivers within its borders; the State denies that the prior patents conveyed the river bed. The patent to the Cherokees included property on both sides of the Arkansas River from its confluence with the Grand River downstream to its junction with the Canadian River. From the Canadian River to the Arkansas border, the Arkansas River was the boundary

To: The Chief Justice
Mr. Justice Black
Mr. Justice Bough
Mr. Justice Harls
Mr. Justice Brenn
Mr. Justice Stews
Mr. Justice White
Mr. Justice Forts

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES om: Marshall, J.

Circulated: DEC 10

[December 10, 1969]

Recirculated:_

MEMORANDUM TO THE CONFERENCE

From Mr. JUSTICE MARSHALL

Re: Nos. 41 and 59

The attached opinion is the result of a change of mind on my part. I voted to affirm and was assigned the opinion. Research and labor convinced me to change and I submit the following draft for a majority or dissenting opinion.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation, Petitioners,

41

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma,
Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[December —, 1969]

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL.

This case involves a dispute over the title to land underlying the navigable portion of parts of the Arkansas River in the State of Oklahoma. As a practical matter, what is at stake is the ownership of the minerals beneath the river bed and of the dry land created by navigation projects that are narrowing and deepening the river channel.

In December 1966, petitioner Cherokee Nation brought suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma against the State of Oklahoma and various corporations to which the State had leased oil and gas and other mineral rights. In its complaint, the Cherokee Nation sought both to recover the royalties derived from the leases and to prevent future interference with its property rights, claiming that it had been since 1835 the absolute fee owner of certain land below the mean high water level of the Arkansas River. Subsequently, petitioners Choctaw and Chickasaw Na-

STYLISTIC CHANGES THROUGHOUT.

To: The Chief Justice

Mr. Justice Black

Mr. Justice Douglas Justice Harlan

Justice Brennan

Justice Stewart

Mr. Justice White

Mr. Justice Fortas

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES Marshall. J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term. 1969

Recirculated: MAR 25

Circulated:_

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation, Petitioners.

41

v. State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma, Petitioner,

59 v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[March —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Marshall delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case involves a dispute over the title to land underlying the navigable portion of parts of the Arkansas River in the State of Oklahoma. As a practical matter, what is at stake is the ownership of the minerals beneath the river bed and of the dry land created by navigation projects that are narrowing and deepening the river channel.

In December 1966, petitioner Cherokee Nation brought suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma against the State of Oklahoma and various corporations to which the State had leased oil and gas and other mineral rights. In its complaint, the Cherokee Nation sought both to recover the royalties derived from the leases and to prevent future interference with its property rights, claiming that it had

The Chief Justia Justice Black Justice Doug

Justice Harli Justice Brenn

Justice Stewa

Mr. Justice White Mr. Justice Forta:

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ... Marshall, J.

Nos. 41 and 59.—October Term, 1969

3

Circulated:

APR 23 Recirculated:

The Choctaw Nation and the Chickasaw Nation, Petitioners,

41

v. State of Oklahoma et al.

The Cherokee Nation or Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma, Petitioner,

59

v.

State of Oklahoma et al.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

[April —, 1970]

Mr. Justice Marshall delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case involves a dispute over the title to land underlying the navigable portion of parts of the Arkansas River in the State of Oklahoma. As a practical matter, what is at stake is the ownership of the minerals beneath the river bed and of the dry land created by navigation projects that are narrowing and deepening the river channel.

In December 1966, petitioner Cherokee Nation brought suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma against the State of Oklahoma and various corporations to which the State had leased oil and gas and other mineral rights. In its complaint, the Cherokee Nation sought both to recover the royalties derived from the leases and to prevent future interference with its property rights, claiming that it had