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Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University
James F. Spriggs, II, Washington University
Forrest Maltzman, George Washington University
Dear Potter,

Re: No. 1155 - United States v. Vuitch

I agree to the suggested letter in the above case.

Sincerely,

H. L. B.

Mr. Justice Stewart

cc: Members of the Conference
April 2, 1970

Re: No. 1155 - United States v. Vuitch

Dear Potter:

I find that I neglected to make any formal return on your memorandum of March 27, regarding the instructions to be given to our Clerk in this case. Your proposal seems to me just right.

Sincerely,

J. M. H.

Mr. Justice Stewart

CC: The Conference
March 27, 1970

MEMORANDUM TO THE CONFERENCE

Re: No. 1155, United States v. Vuitich

We decided at the Conference to ask the parties in this case for further briefing on the question of this Court's appellate jurisdiction. I have been advised by John Davis that it is the normal practice with respect to requests of this kind for the Clerk simply to write a letter to counsel for the parties, rather than for the Court to issue a formal order. Accordingly, I suggest that the Clerk be requested to write such a letter to counsel, containing the following language:

The appellant is requested to supplement its jurisdictional statement, and the appellee his response, with respect to the following question: Does this Court have jurisdiction to entertain a direct appeal from a decision of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia dismissing an indictment on the ground of the invalidity of the statute upon which the indictment is founded, where that statute, although an act of Congress, applies only in the District of Columbia?

P. S.
March 28, 1970

Re: No. 1155 - U. S. v. Vuitch

Dear Potter:

Your suggested approach to this matter looks good to me.

Sincerely,

B.R.W.

Mr. Justice Stewart

cc: The Conference